



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,808	09/18/2001	Franz Steinbacher	13321US01	8211

7590

10/09/2003

Dean D. Small  
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.  
34th Floor  
500 W. Madison Street  
Chicago, IL 60661

EXAMINER

JUNG, WILLIAM C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3737

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/954,808

Applicant(s)

STEINBACHER ET AL.

Examiner

William Jung

Art Unit

3737

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-19 and 21-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_                      6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3737

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-17, 19, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Robinson et al* (US 6,315,723).

Robinson et al anticipates all claimed invention in claims 1-8, 10-19, and 21-23.

**Claims 1, 16, and 17:** Robinson et al discloses of an ultrasound imaging method where the first  $T_{S1}$  and second  $T_{S2}$  ultrasound beams are transmitted along a common scanline into a region of interest (ROI) and receiving reflected echoes ( $R_{L1}$  and  $R_{L2}$ ,  $R_{S3}$  and  $R_{S4}$ ) and multiplying (via multipliers 42, 44, and 46) the first and second echoes with weighting factor. The weighting process includes contribution from the number of scanlines used to form the composite image. The weighted echoes of signals are combined or added to form a composite image (col. Col. 5, line 56- col. 6, line 46; col. 9, lines 31-61).

**Claims 13:** In addition to method above, Robinson et al further discloses of composite scanline formed from ultrasound transmission at different focal depths (col. 1, lines 38-43; col. 4, line 59 – col. 5, line 10).

Art Unit: 3737

**Claim 14:** Furthermore, Robinson et al discloses of dynamic aperture setting for the transmission parameter to vary the number of transmit elements for each ultrasound transmission (col. 1, lines 20-35).

**Claims 2-6, 8, 10-12, 15, 19, and 21-23:** Robinson et al discloses of utilizing different parameters of transmission and corresponding reception condition such as focal depth, transmission frequency, aperture size (number of transmitting elements), number of scanlines, time delay, and length of the transmit pulse (col. 1, lines 20-43; col. 3, lines 29-52).

Claims 7 and 18: Robinson et al

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

***Robinson et al*** as applied to claims 1 and 17 above, and further in view of ***Hossack et al*** (US 5,873,830).

Robinson et al substantially discloses of all claimed invention in claims 7 and 18.

Hossack et al further teaches that the compounded or composite images formed from multiple transmission/receptions method uses varying transmission and reception parameter including frequencies, focal depths as well as bandwidth. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Robinson et al to the teachings of Hossack et al to achieve the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3737

***Conclusion***

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

*Chiao et al* (US 6,048,315), *Wright et al* (US 5,793,701), *Entrekin et al* (US 6,530,885), *Jago et al* (US 6,117,081), and *Phillips* (US 6,213,947)

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703-305-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3737

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

*WLS*  
September 29, 2003



DENNIS RUHL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER